9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[Docket No. USCG-2013-0926]

RIN 1625-AA09

Drawbridge Operation Regulation; New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway, Barnegat Bay, Seaside Heights, NJ

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to temporarily change the operating schedule that governs the S37 Bridge, at NJICW mile 14.1 over Barnegat Bay, at Seaside Heights, NJ. Over the span of two and half years, the bridge will be closed to navigation for three four-month closure periods. Extensive replacement of parts and repairs to the bridge necessitate these closures.

DATES: Comments and related material must reach the Coast Guard on or before [Insert date 60 days after date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments identified by docket number USCG-2013-0926 using any one of the following

#### methods:

- (1) Federal eRulemaking Portal: http://www.regulations.gov.
  - (2) Fax: 202-493-2251.
- (3) Mail or Delivery: Docket Management Facility (M-30), U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Room W12-140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC, 20590-0001. Deliveries accepted between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, except federal holidays. The telephone number is 202-366-9329.

See the "Public Participation and Request for Comments" portion of the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section below for instructions on submitting comments. To avoid duplication, please use only one of these four methods.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this proposed rule, call or e-mail Jim Rousseau, District Five Prevention Bridges, the Coast Guard; telephone 757-398-6557, e-mail James.L.Rousseau2@uscg.mil. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Renee V. Wright, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202-366-9826.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Acronyms

CFR Code of Federal Regulations

DHS Department of Homeland Security

FR Federal Register

NPRM Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

§ Section Symbol

U.S.C. United States Code

NJDOT New Jersey Department of Transportation

# A. Public Participation and Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting comments and related materials. All comments received will be posted, without change to <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a> and will include any personal information you have provided.

# 1. Submitting comments

If you submit a comment, please include the docket number for this proposed rulemaking (USCG-2012-0926), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and provide a reason for each suggestion or recommendation. You may submit your comments and material online <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>, or by fax, mail or hand delivery, but please use only one of these means. If you submit a comment online via <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>, it will be considered received by the Coast Guard when you successfully transmit the comment. If you fax, hand deliver, or mail your comment,

it will be considered as having been received by the Coast Guard when it is received at the Docket Management Facility. We recommend that you include your name and a mailing address, an e-mail address, or a phone number in the body of your document so that we can contact you if we have questions regarding your submission.

http://www.regulations.gov, type the docket number [USCG-2013-0926] in the "SEARCH" box and click "Search." then click on "Submit a Comment" on the line associated with this rulemaking. If you submit your comments by mail or hand delivery, submit them in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying and electronic filing. If you submit them by mail and would like to know that they reached the Facility, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period and may change the rule based on your comments.

# 2. Viewing comments and documents

To view comments, as well as documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <a href="http://www.regulations.gov">http://www.regulations.gov</a>, type the docket number (USCG-2013-0926) in the "SEARCH" box and click "SEARCH." Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this

rulemaking. You may also visit the Docket Management

Facility in Room W12-140 on the ground floor of the

Department of Transportation West Building, 1200 New Jersey

Avenue SE, Washington, DC, 20590, between 9 a.m. and 5

p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

# 3. Privacy Act

Anyone can search the electronic form of comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review a Privacy Act notice regarding our public dockets in the January 17, 2008, issue of the Federal Register (73 FR 3316).

## 4. Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for one using one of the four methods specified under ADDRESSES. Please explain why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the Federal Register.

#### B. Basis and Purpose

Parsons Brinkerhoff, a design consultant on behalf of NJDOT, requested a temporary change to the existing

regulations for the S37 Bridge to facilitate necessary repairs. The repairs consist of extensive structural rehabilitation, decking replacement, bearing replacement, electrical repairs, gate replacement and improvements to necessitate this closure. To facilitate repairs, the bascule span would be maintained in the closed position to navigation on three four-month closure periods beginning at 8 a.m., December 1, 2015 until 8 p.m., March 31, 2016; from 8 a.m., December 1, 2016 until 8 p.m., March 31, 2017; and from 8 a.m., December 1, 2017 until 8 p.m. March 31, 2018.

The Coast Guard has reviewed the bridge data provided by NJDOT. The data, from years 2004 to 2013, shows a substantial decrease in the number of bridge openings and vessel traffic transiting the area between December and March. Spring and fall average openings are approximately 100 per month. Winter months average approximately 6 vessel openings per month. A survey was conducted with nine local commercial marinas also indicating minimal impact to their customers and operations. The S37 Bridge, also known locally as the Thomas A. Mathis Bridge, is a double-leaf bascule bridge with a vertical clearance of approximately 30 feet, above mean high water. Based on the data provided, the proposed closure dates will have minimal impact on vessel traffic.

# C. Discussion of Proposed Rule

117.733 (c) (1) governing the S37 Bridge, at NJICW mile 14.1, over Barnegat Bay, at Seaside Heights, NJ. The Coast Guard proposes to temporarily suspend 33 CFR 117.733 (c) (1) and insert this new regulation at 33 CFR 117.733 (c) (4). Paragraph (c) (4) would allow the draw to be maintained in the closed position to vessels during the extensive rehabilitation project on three four-month closure periods beginning 8 a.m., December 1, 2015 until 8 p.m., March 31, 2016; from 8 a.m., December 1, 2016 until 8 p.m., March 31, 2017; and from 8 a.m., December 1, 2017 until 8 p.m., March 31, 2018. Vessels with a mast height less than 30 feet can pass underneath the bridge in the closed position at anytime. The Atlantic Ocean is the only alternate route available for vessels unable to pass underneath the bridge and the bridge will be unable to open during the closure period.

The Coast Guard proposes to temporarily amend 33 CFR

# D. Regulatory Analyses

We developed this proposed rule after considering numerous statutes and executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on these statutes or executive orders.

# 1. Regulatory Planning and Review

This proposed rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866,
Regulatory Planning and Review, as supplemented by
Executive Order 13563, Improving Regulation and Regulatory
Review, and does not require an assessment of potential
costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of Order 12866 or
under section 1 of Executive Order 13563. The Office of
Management and Budget has not reviewed it under those
Orders. The proposed change is expected to have minimal
impact on mariners due to slow down of users in the winter
months with no anticipated change to vessel traffic.

# 2. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601-612, as amended, requires federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term "small entities" comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

This action will not have a significant economic

impact on a substantial number of small entities for the following reasons. There have been minimal vessel requests requiring openings for the past 9 years in the winter months. Vessels that can safely transit under the bridge may do so at any time.

If you think that your business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction qualifies as a small entity and that this rule would have a significant economic impact on it, please submit a comment (see ADDRESSES) explaining why you think it qualifies and how and to what degree this rule would economically affect it.

# 3. Assistance for Small Entities

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory
Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-121), we
want to assist small entities in understanding this
proposed rule. If the rule would affect your small
business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and
you have questions concerning its provisions or options for
compliance, please contact the person listed in the FOR
FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT, above. The Coast Guard will
not retaliate against small entities that question or
complain about this proposed rule or any policy or action
of the Coast Guard.

# 4. Collection of Information

This proposed rule would call for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3520.).

## 5. Federalism

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among various levels of government. We have analyzed this proposed rule under that Order and have determined that it does not have implications for federalism.

# 6. Protest Activities

The Coast Guard respects the First Amendment rights of protesters. Protesters are asked to contact the person listed in the "For Further Information Contact" section to coordinate protest activities so that your message can be received without jeopardizing the safety or security of people, places or vessels

# 7. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531-1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the

expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this proposed rule will not result in such expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this proposed rule elsewhere in this preamble.

# 8. Taking of Private Property

This proposed rule would not cause a taking of private property or otherwise have taking implications under Executive Order 12630, Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights.

# 9. Civil Justice Reform

This proposed rule meets applicable standards in sections 3(a) and 3(b) (2) of Executive Order 12988, Civil Justice Reform, to minimize litigation, eliminate ambiguity, and reduce burden.

#### 10. Protection of Children

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This proposed rule is not an economically significant rule and would not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that might disproportionately affect children.

# 11. Indian Tribal Governments

This proposed rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### 12. Energy Effects

This proposed rule is not a "significant energy action" under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use.

# 13. Technical Standards

This proposed rule does not use technical standards.

Therefore, we did not consider the use of voluntary

consensus standards.

# 14. Environment

We have analyzed this proposed rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023-01 and Commandant Instruction M16475.lD, which guides the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy

Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321-4370f), and have made a preliminary determination that this action is one of a category of actions which do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This proposed rule simply promulgates the operating regulations or procedures for drawbridges. This rule is categorically excluded, under figure 2-1, paragraph (32)(e), of the Instruction.

Under figure 2-1, paragraph (32)(e), of the

Instruction, an environmental analysis checklist and a

categorical exclusion determination are not required for

this rule. We seek any comments or information that may

lead to the discovery of a significant environmental impact

from this proposed rule.

# E. <u>List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117</u> Bridges.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard proposes to temporarily amend 33 CFR Part 117 as follows:

#### PART 117-DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 33 CFR 1.05-1; Department of Homeland Security Delegation No. 0170.1.

2. From December 1, 2015 through March 31, 2018 in
§117.733, suspend paragraph(c) (1) and add paragraph
(c)(4), to read as follows:

# § 117.733 New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

(4) From every December 1 through March 31, beginning in 2015 until 2018, the draw may remain closed to navigation.

\* \* \* \* \*

Dated: November 18, 2013

STEVEN H. RATTI Rear Admiral, United States Coast Guard Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District

[FR Doc. 2013-29859 Filed 12/16/2013 at 8:45 am; Publication Date: 12/17/2013]